



**Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum**  
(This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and has/have not been amended subsequent to that review.

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document(s) Reviewed:

**WAC 458-30-330: Rating system;**

**WAC 458-30-335: Rating system – Procedure to establish; and**

**WAC 458-30-340: Rating system – Adoption – Notice to owner – Loss of classification.**

Date last reviewed: **6/28/2000**

Reviewer: **Kim M. Qually**

Date current review completed: **6/16/2005**

Briefly explain the subject matter of the document(s):

**The goal and purpose of WAC 458-30-330 is to set forth in rule the general authority granted to county legislative authorities regarding classified open space land. A county legislative authority may direct the county planning commission to establish open space priorities and to adopt an open space plan and a public benefit rating system.**

**The goal and purpose of WAC 458-30-335 is to describe the factors considered when a public benefit rating system is established by a county planning commission. It also sets forth the recognized sources used in setting open space priorities.**

**The goal and purpose of WAC 458-30-340 is to outline the procedures a county is required to follow when an open space plan and public benefit rating system has been approved. It also lists the effect the open space plan and rating system has on land classified as open space at the time a plan and system are adopted.**

Type an "X" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.

**1. Public requests for review:**

YES	NO	
	X	Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a public request?

If "yes," provide the name of the taxpayer/business association and a brief explanation of the issues raised in the request.



Not applicable

**2. Related statutes, interpretive and/or policy statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs:**

YES	NO	
X		Are there any statutory changes subsequent to the previous review of this rule that should be incorporated?
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements not identified in the previous review of this rule that should be incorporated?
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements that should be repealed because the information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is incorrect or not needed? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)
	X	Are there any Board of Tax Appeals (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or Attorney General Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule?
	n/a	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions (WTDs)) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?
X		Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review of this rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above?

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a brief summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

**Laws of 2005, c 310 - calls for counties using an open space plan or public benefit rating system to give "priority consideration to lands used for buffers that are planted with or primarily contain native vegetation" Counties that do not already give priority consideration to such buffers must do so by July 1, 2006.**

**3. Additional information:** Identify any additional issues that should be addressed or incorporated into the rule. Note here if you believe the rule can be rewritten and reorganized in a more clear and concise manner.

**Although the 3 rules are written and formatted in the manner now preferred by DOR, there is no compelling need to have 3 separate rules that all deal with the same subject matter. The rules should be incorporated into 1 rule and properly indexed for clarity. Additionally, the 2005 changes to the underlying statute should be included and explained.**

**4. Listing of documents reviewed:**



Statute(s) Implemented:

**RCW 84.34.055: Open space priorities – Open Space Plan and public benefit rating system; and**

**RCW 84.34.060: Determination of true and fair value of classified land – Computation of assessed value.**

Interpretive and/or policy statements: **None**

Court Decisions: **None**

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs): **None**

Appeals Division Decisions (WTDs): **Not applicable**

Attorney General Opinions (AGOs): **None**

Other Documents: **Laws of 2005, c 310**

**5. Review Recommendation:**

- ☒ **Amend**
- ☐ **Repeal/Cancel**
- ☐ **Leave as is**
- ☐ **Begin the rule-making process for possible revision.**

**Explanation of recommendation:** Provide a brief summary of your recommendation, whether the same as or different from the original review of the document(s). If this recommendation differs from that of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference.

If recommending that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the recommendation is to:

- Correct inaccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule;
- Incorporate legislation;
- Consolidate information now available in other documents; or
- Address issues not otherwise addressed in other documents.

**The three rules should be incorporated into one rule and properly indexed for clarity. The 2005 changes to RCW 84.34.055, the basic underlying statute, should be included in revised rule.**



**6. Manager action:** Date: 6/20/05

AL Reviewed and accepted recommendation

Amendment priority (to be completed by manager):

X 1  
       2  
       3  
       4